Initial Date (18/FEB/2013): IP Number: IP 130 Revision 1 / Date (DD/MMM/YYYY): Revision, 02-July-2013

Title: Zonal Transfer of Structural Maintenance Requirements

Submitter: EASA MRB Section

- **Issue:** The structural analysis logic in MSG3 should be updated to better clarify the intent of the Zonal transfer of structural maintenance requirements.
- **Problem:** MSG3 provides the opportunity to assess structural maintenance requirements that fulfil specific characteristics to be proposed as candidates for zonal transfer. This process is not clearly reflected within the structural maintenance requirements logic diagrams.

Recommendation (including Implementation): It is recommended to revise the logics 2-4-

- 4.1 as per the proposal attached, in order to:
- Reflect better the possibility of Zonal transfer of structural maintenance requirements, as described within the MSG3 document.

The proposed revisions of the above mentioned diagrams will also lead to some slight adaptations of the logic diagrams 2-4-4.2, 2-4-4.3 and 2-4-4.4, as well as the revision of wording in section 2-4-4 paragraph 1, a-y.

Initial Date (18/FEB/2013): IP Number: IP 130 Revision 1 / Date (DD/MMM/YYYY): Revision, 02-July-2013

> Logic diagrams 2-4-4.2, 2-4-4.3 and 2-4-4.4: Revise diagrams by replacing "TO P20" with "TO D9" at the bottom of the diagrams.

Wording in section 2-4-4 paragraph 1, a-y:

1. Procedure The procedure for developing structural maintenance tasks is shown in the logic diagram (Ref. [Figure 2-4-4.1]) and described by a series of process steps (P1, P2, P3, etc.) and decision steps (D1, D2, D3, etc.) as follows: a. The structural maintenance analysis is to be applied to all aircraft structure which is divided into zones or areas (P1) and structural items (P2) by the manufacturer.

b. The manufacturer categorizes each item as structurally significant (SSI) or Other Structure, on the basis of the consequences to aircraft safety of item failure or malfunction (D1).

c. The same procedure is repeated until all structural items have been categorized.

d. Items categorized as Structural Significant Item (SSI) (P3) are listed as SSI's. They are to be categorized as safe-life or damage-tolerant (D5), and are additionally subjected to AD/ED/CPCP analysis (either as metallic or non-metallic structure).

e. Items categorized as Other Structure (P4) are compared to similar items on existing aircraft (D2). Maintenance recommendations are developed by the Structures Working Group (SWG) for items which are similar and by the manufacturer for those which are not, e. g., new materials or design concepts (P5). All tasks selected by the SWG (P6) are evaluated for zonal transfer (D9) for and will either become zonal inspection candidate (P20b) or will be included in the scheduled structural maintenance (P20a) (P20a).

f. The manufacturer must consider two types of AD/ED analysis; for metallic structure (P7-P9) and for non-metallic structure (P10-P14). Each SSI may consist of one or the other, or both.

g. Task requirements for timely detection of Accidental Damage (AD) and Environmental Deterioration (ED) are determined for all metallic SSIs (P7). These can be determined for individual SSIs or groups of SSIs which are suitable for comparative assessments on the basis of their location, boundaries, inspection access, analysis breakdown, etc. The manufacturer's rating systems (Ref. [Subject 2-4-5]) are used to determine these requirements. The manufacturer may propose a validated S-SHM application(s) as long as it satisfies the detection requirement(s).

h. For each SSI containing metallic structure, the maintenance requirements are determined (P8) such that the expectations of the CPCP (Ref. [Heading 2-4-2.5]) are fulfilled.

IP Template Rev 2, dated 22/02/2007

Initial Date (18/FEB/2013): IP Number: IP 130 Revision 1 / Date (DD/MMM/YYYY): Revision, 02-July-2013

i. The inspection requirement of the ED analysis is compared with the requirement of the CPCP (D3). If they are similar or identical, the ED task will cover the CPCP requirement. If the CPCP task requirement is not met, the ED task has to be reviewed and/or additional and separate CPCP tasks have to be determined (P9).

j. The process (P7, P8, P9) is repeated until all metallic SSIs are examined. *k.* Each SSI containing non-metallic structure is assessed as to its sensitivity to Accidental Damage (AD) or not (D4), on the basis of SSI location, frequency of exposure to the damage source, and location of damage site.

l. SSIs containing non-metallic structure classified as sensitive to Accidental Damage (AD), are assessed for frequency of exposure to each likely damage source and the likelihood of multiple occurrence (P10), and its impact on the Environmental Deterioration (ED) analysis (P11).

m. When applicable, AD impact on the ED analysis is considered when the SSI is assessed for sensitivity to structural composition (P12) and sensitivity to the environment (P13), considering the material type.

n. Task requirements for timely detection of damage (e.g., delamination and disbonding) are determined for all SSIs containing non-metallic structure (P14). The manufacturer's rating systems (Ref.[Subject 2-4-5]) are used to determine these requirements. The manufacturer may propose a validated S-SHM application(s) as long as it satisfies the detection requirement(s).

o. All tasks resulting from AD/ED analysis ([Figure 2-4-4.3] and/or [Figure 2-4-4.4]), including S-SHM tasks selected by the SWG, are evaluated for zonal transfer (D9) and will either become zonal inspection candidate (P20b) or will be included in the structural maintenance(P20a) (P20).

p. The manufacturer categorizes each SSI as damage tolerant or safe-life (D5).

q. For each item categorized as safe-life, the manufacturer determines the safe-life limit (P15) which is included in the aircraft Airworthiness Limitations (P19). No fatigue related inspection is required to assure continuing airworthiness.

r. All remaining SSIs are damage tolerant and the manufacturer determines if timely detection of fatigue damage is dependent on scheduled inspections (P16). Scheduled fatigue related inspection may not be required for SSIs designed to carry the required load with damage that will be readily detectable during routine operation of the aircraft (D6).

s. Details of the fatigue related task requirements based on the manufacturer's damage tolerance evaluations, including validated S-SHM application(s), are presented to the SWG (or equivalent body) who determines if they are acceptable (D7).

Initial Date (18/FEB/2013): IP Number: IP 130 Revision 1 / Date (DD/MMM/YYYY): Revision, 02-July-2013

t. Improved task requirement (change in task type – visual inspections, nondestructive inspections, S-SHM – and/or access and/or procedure) and/or redesign of the SSI may be required (D8/P17). If this is not feasible for the manufacturer, the SSI must be categorized as safe life (P17).

u. Fatigue related task requirements are listed (P18).

v. To support Type Certification, selected FD requirements associated with PSEs (D5) should be listed in the Airworthiness Limitations document.

w. Tasks from AD, ED, FD (other than Airworthiness Limitations), and other structure analyses are evaluated for zonal transfer (D9) and will either become zonal inspection candidate (P20b) or will be included in the scheduled structural maintenance (P20a) listed in the Scheduled Structural Maintenance (P20).

x. The resulting maintenance requirements for all structure from step "w" are submitted to the ISC for approval and inclusion in the MRB report proposal.

y. The structural maintenance portion of the Airworthiness Limitations should be included in a separate document and submitted to the appropriate Regulatory Authority (certification) for approval.

IMRBPB Position:

Date: April 26, 2013 Position: Additional changes have been included in the CIP and flowchart based on input from MPIG. CIP closed as IP 130.

Status of Issue Paper (when closed state the closure date): Closed IP 130

Recommendation for implementation: Incorporate into the next revision of MSG-3 Volumes I and II.

Initial Date (18/FEB/2013): IP Number: IP 130

Revision 1 / Date (DD/MMM/YYYY): Revision, 02-July-2013

Important Note: The IMRBPB positions are not policy. Positions become policy only when the policy is issued formally by the appropriate National Aviation Authority.